

NOV 15 2006

APPLICANTS RESPONSE to ADVISORY ACTION dated 10/26/2006:

DATE: November 14, 2006

Applicant: Jerry M. Edmondson

Application No. 10/792,197

Examiner: Kevin L. Lee

Filing Date: 03/04/2004

Dear Sir,

Do Not Enter
KL

Responsive to the "Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief" date 10/26/2006, I wish to rescind my "Applicants Response to Office Action" dated September 29, 2006, requesting to amend the patent application and in its place substitute this request and ask for the examiner to please consider this amendment to the claims which I feel, if accepted, will place the application in condition for allowance.

Please accept page 6R-AR which cancels claims 1-4 and withdraws claims 5-7.

Please accept page 6R-BR which amends claims 8-10 and cancels claim 11.

I believe the substitution of this requested amendment will resolve the issues as noted by item 3. of the Advisory Action and its Continuation Sheet.

With reference to the earlier Office Action Summary of 09/25/2006, I believe the examiners acceptance of the amended claims will overcome the examiners objection of the claim 8, not being written as a method claim. Further, I believe such acceptance will overcome the examiners objection to the claim being indefinite as language has been added to better describe the invention and establish antecedent basis for "the process" and "the fluid" and overcome the objection that the recitation that the barrier is "constructed in a manner that will permit..." is vague and indefinite.

The amended claims, if accepted, will overcome the examiner's objection to "same said" by removing the word "same" and will make the claims 9 and 10 dependent on